Revenue (1950) Department.

M [illegible]

G.O. I.O. 1734

1734

Dated 14 - 6 - 1950

[Despatch Abstract]

Mr Servants Kellogg - Sir K. R.

anniversaries - Appointment list of

Day Tickets for 49 - Inclusion more

Extract from Board's Ref. o.D.3096/50-1(C) date 31-3-1950.

Public servants - (Bellary) - Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars - Inclusion in the approved lists - Petitions to Govt. - Submitted.



xx xx xx

4. In his annual proposals for 1949, the Collector, Bellary, recommended the names of ~~Sri H.B.~~ Bhima Reddy, Sri K.R. Srinivasachary, ~~Sri~~ P. Seshagiri Rao and Sri ~~E.H. Benjamin~~. The Board examined their cases and order that they should wait or found unfit. ~~The Collector did not recommend the names of Sri A. Krishnamurthy, Sri J.~~ Basavana Gowd and Sri T. Natarajan. The Board examined the claims of Sri A. Krishnamurthi with reference to a petition submitted by him and ordered that he should wait It accepted the reasons given by the Collector in respect of the other two petitioners. Relevant extracts of the remarks of the Collector and of the Board's office file are enclosed.

5. The Board included 5 names in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars - 4 belonging to Backward Hindu commu and one belonging to on-Brahman Hindu community. These persons were included as their records were better than those of the petitioners and the memorialist.

xx xx xx

Extract from Bellary Collector's report for the year 1949.

Sri K.R. Sreenivasachar - B.A. - Aged 36 years Working as Taluk Supply Officer - Technically qualifi Name was proposed in 1946 but was ordered to wait. 1948 his case was considered on presentation of a pet and was ordered to wait. Took part in the strike. Nomination roll and Personal file may be perused.

Note by Secretary: Heavily over-represented communi Later reports are good. But in 1945, Paul found him capable onl of routine work. Drafting and noting average - Unmethodical. wait.

(Sd) A.F.H.
14-1-50.

R

Wait
(Sd) V.N.K.
17-1-50

Wait
(Sd) S.R.
17/1

LIST OF [illegible] IN THE [illegible] TALUK [illegible] DISTRICT OF [illegible] UNDER RULE 9(a) OF THE MADRAS [illegible] RULES, 1949.

1. Number	...	IV
2. Name of the Officer	...	Sri K.R.Srinivasachar
3. Caste	...	Brahmin - Hindu.
4. Present appointment and pay thereof:	...	Taluk Supply Officer, Siruguppa under Rule 9(a)(Pay - Rs.150/-)
5. Age:	...	37 years.
6. Total service	...	15 years including his acting service.

SERVICE

7. In qualifying grades in the case of candidates for the post of Tahsildar.	...	Does not arise
8. As Revenue Inspector in the case of candidates for the post of Deputy Tahsildar	...	2 years and 9 months Passed Maintenance test.
9. General educational qualifications		B.A.
10. Special tests passed with date of passing.		Revenut Test -Part I - F.S.G. dated 1-3-38 -do- Part II " 1-3-38 -do- Part III " 23-8-38 Account Test - Part I " 24-2-42 -do- Part II " 24-11-42 Crl.Judl.Test - Part I " 22-8-44 -do- Part II " 29-2-44
11. Conduct and Character	...	Good.
12. Whether possessed landed property within the limits of the District.	...	No.
13. Whether the Officer has previously exercised ministerial powers for a period not less than 6 months ...		No.
14. Whether physically fit and able to get about the country (for a Deputy Tahsildar) whether able to ride (for Tahsildar).		Yes.
15. REMARKS.	...	He took part in the strike. On a Memorial to Government, they have directed in G.O.1034, Revenue, dated 19-9-49 communicated in B.P.Rt.5347 dated 26-9-49, to include his name in the Deputy Tahsildar's list in 1949.

[illegible]

He has uniformly a good record. The Sub Collector has found him to be 'industrious, intelligent and capable'. He strongly recommends his inclusion on the following gounds 'He has a natural tact in him and gets on very well with his superiors as well as his subordinates even in the most trying circumstances. He has also got a good personality and will make a very executive officer.

At my inspection of the Sub Collector's Office, I found that this person, who was then the Head clerk, was a very able hand. His name may be included.

(Sd) N.V.Sugavanam,
14-12-49
Collector.

Revenue Department.

From
Sri G. Rami Reddi, B.A.,
Collector of Bellary.

To
The Secretary,
Board of Revenue,
Madras.

Rc.No.2623/50 dated 23-2-1950.

Sir,

Sub: Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars - Bellary District - Annual list for 1949 - inclusion of Sri K.R. Srinivasa char - petition to Government submitted.

I submit herewith the petition put in by Sri K.R. Srinivasa char purporting to be an appeal to the Government against Board's orders. On a similar petition to the Government last year, the Government had ordered that his case might be considered for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildars list in 1949. While submitting proposals for the Deputy Tahsildars list in December 1949 his name was recommended and the nomination roll was submitted along with this office P.R. 217/49 dated 14-12-49 mentioning therein the Government orders and the B.P.No. I have no remarks to offer on his petition as I recently joined this district.

I submit herewith his personal file in a separate cover.

VK.23.2.

Yours faithfully,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department,

Fort St., George,

Madras.

Through

The Proper Channel.

Subject: - Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars - Bellary district - Annual list for 1949 - Non inclusion of Sri K.R. Srinivasachar in the list of Deputy Tahsildars - petition.

Reference:-G.O.Rt. 1034 dated 19-9-49. F)17-18 ch(c)
B.P.Rt. 5347 dated 26-9-49.

*****

1. I K.R. Srinivasachar, Ex.Taluk Supply Officer, Siruguppa beg to present the following facts for the kind consideration and favourable orders of the Government.

2. I have, again the misfortune of coming before the Government with my appeal against my non-inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for the year 1949, in spite of the fact that the Government in G.O. Rt. 1034 dated 19.9.49 promised a favourable consideration of my case with the annual proposals for 1949. F)17-18 ch (F)

3. I am a graduate of the Madras University. I was selected as clerk for the Revenue Department by the Madras Services Commission in 1934. I worked in various branches of the Stationary Sub Magistrate's and Taluk Offices until I went out as Revenue Inspector in 1938 and after the completion of the Revenue Inspector's course, I was drafted to the Collector's Office in 1942. From 1942 to 1945, I worked in the Collector's office in important branches like Jamabandi, Famine Irrigation etc. In 1945 October, I was promoted to the Upper Division and posted as Head Clerk

Taluk Office Harpanahalli. After a brief period I was redrafted in February 46 to Collector's Office to work as Famine Upper Division clerk in which seat my hard work won me the approbation of the then Collector Sri K.N.Anantaraman I.C.S. My work was commended and mentioned in the Famine final report to the Board. Please see Collector's R.Dis. 9563/46 dated 29-3-47 communicated by the Board to the Government in B.P.21 Press dated 26.7.47. In February 47, I was appointed as Second Additional Huzur Head Clerk tempora in the category of Deputy Tahsildars. Even since I have beer working as Deputy Tahsildar in various capacities, viz. Special Deputy Tahsildar for recruitment and Welfare, Tungabhadra Project, Headquarters Deputy Tahsildar, Hospet, Specia Loans Deputy Tahsildar, Bellary, Election Deputy Tahsildar Rayadrug, Special Deputy Tahsildar for Land Acquisition T.B.Project and Taluk Supply Officer, on temporary basis reverting for very brief periods now and then for want of vacancies.

4. I feel embarassed to recount the good work done by me in various capacities to the entire satisfaction of my superiors. I was fully qualified for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars as early as in 1945, but my claims for inclusion were put off for no reason, even though my name was recommended for inclusion as long back as in 1947.

5. The following juniors in the lower and upper divisions were included till 1948 over looking my claims.

1. Sri M.R. Nagaraj (2) Sri P. Samuel.
3. Sri I.M. Kotriah (4) Sri T. Hosakerap
5. Sri N. Satyanarayana Moorthy (6) Sri R. Hari Hara Rao.
7. Sri J. Satyanarayana chetty (8) Sri B.L. Basavana Gowd

I waited patiently till 1948 hoping that the wrong done in previous years would be righted but it remained a pious hope. I petitioned to the Board in 1948 for considering my claims for inclsuion but the Board in its Endorsement reference No.D. 10825/48-3 dated 16.2.49 rejected my petition. Against this order, I appealed to the Government and in pursuance of the instructions contained in G.O. Rt.1034 dated 19.9.49 and B.P.Rt. 5347 dated 26.9.49, the Collector informed me that my case for inclsuion would be considered along with the annual proposals for 1949.

6. Obviously the list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1949 has been approved as seen from the appointments of the following persons:

(1) Sri K. Rudrappa (2) Sri P.M. Rachiah
(3) Sri B.M. Veerabhadriah (4) Sri G.A. Kotrabasayya.
(5) Sri N. Satyanarayana.

I find that my name has not been included again even though persons who have put in less than 10 years service have had the good fortune of being put on the list.

7. I am thoroughly non-plussed and unable to account for this strange happening. My University education, good and conscientious work in various capacities and a good record, in my case have been of no avail. I may be permitted to state that I have not so far received any punishment not even a black mark, during my long service of 16 years. As nothing bad in my personal file has been communicated to me, the only reasonable inference is that it is good.

he only reason that I could imagine is that I am a brahmin which community is over-represented in the category of Deputy Tahsildars in this district. If this conjecture is true I may be permitted to state that the Board would not have included even in recent years, members of that community year after year. 4 brahmins were included for 1945, 3 for 1946 and 2 for 1948. Even among these included the following are my juniors.

(1) Sri M.R. Nagaraj (2) Sri N. Satyanarayanamoorthy
(3) Sri R. Harihara Rao (4) Sri I. Sudersan.

9. I am getting nervous as I am advancing in age. I am now 38 years of age and even at this stage if one is asked to wait, while for younger persons some of whom who are just above 30, are included, no one knows how long this waiting has to be done. Already 17 of my juniors have gone over me and still I am to wait.

10. I therefore pray that the Government may be graciously pleased to do me justice, considering my long service, uniform good work and the fact of several junio getting over me for no fault of mine. I pray that my name may be included in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1949 and I may be given due seniority in the category of Deputy Tahsildras

For which act of kindness, I shall ever be grateful.

Bellary:
Dated: 16

Yours faithfully,
K.R. Srinivasacharya

Copy submitted to

1) The Secretary Board of Revenue, Madras.
2) The Secretary to the Government, Revenue Department, Fo St., George, Madras direct for favour of information

Confidential!

C.No. R5 7689/50

Read (1) From Sri K. R. Srinivasachariar petition dated 16-2-1950

(2) From the Board of Revenue Ref: D. 3096/50-1 dated 31-3-1950.

G.O. No. 1434 dated 14-6-80.

The Government after examining the petition from Sri K. R. Srinivasachariar with the connected records consider that he should be included in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars for Bellary dt for 1949. The Board is requested to take action accordingly in the matter.

2. The petitioner is referred to the Board of Revenue for orders on this petition read above

By order

Rev.Dept.

No 2578 6/50) [Public Servants-(Bellary) - Sri K.B.Srinivasachari - Inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs-

pp 1-4 cy — From Sri K.R.Srinivasachari petition dated 16.2.50
pp 1-4 cy —— From the Bd.of Rev. Ref.No.D.3096/50-1(C) dated 31.3.50,

---

To US

Please see the petition of Sri K.R.Srinivasachari at pages 6-8 cf. and the Board's Ref. at pages 1-4 cf.

2. The petitioner is a Brahman. He is a Graduate and aged 37. He is technically qualified to hold the post of a Dy.Tahr. He has acted as a temporary Dy.Tahr. for more than 2 years. In his annual proposals for 1946 his name was proposed for inclusion in the list but the Board ordered him to wait. In 1948 the Board examined his case with reference to a petition from him and ordered that he should wait. Thereupon he submitted a petition (pp 3-7 cy (E)) to the Govt. When the case was circulated for orders, H.M. minuted thus:

> The record of the petitioner is good. His junior Sundaresan who is younger and not a graduate has been selected. I find on perusal of his confidential sheet that except the remarks of Mr.Paul which are dissented from by Mr.Anantapaman, the sheet is good. The Board may consider his inclusion for 1949 as the list for 1949 must be ready for consideration."

Orders were accordingly issued in G.O.No.1034 Rev. dated 19.9.49 - vide pages 17-18 of the G.O cy (E)

In his annual proposals for 1949, the Collr. recommended the name of the petitioner for inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs. The Board examined his claims. It observed as below:

> "Heavily over-represented community. Later reports are good. But in 1945 Paul found him capable only of routine work. Drafting and noting average. Unmethodical. May wait."

The petitioner has now come upto the Govt. In his petition at pages 6-9 cf. he states that he has worked in various capacities, that eight juniors were included in 1948 list and 5 in the 1949 list, and requests that he may also be included in the list of Dy.Tahrs.for 1949 with due

Selection to the post of Dy.Tahrs. is based on competitive merit and ability. Inclusion is done the Bd. The Govt. interferes only for special reasons such as the Collector recommending the candidates successively and the Board turning it down. that the candidate belongs to a backward Hindu Community, that he has acted as a temporary Dy.Tahr. for a long time or that he is nearing the age limit. In the case of the petitioner, his case was recommended by the Collr. ~~&~~ in 1946 and 1949 ~~and he has been acting as a temporary Dy.Tahr. for over 4 two years but the Bd considered it~~ that the petitioner should wait. ~~In the circumstances~~ It is for orders whether any interference will be deemed called for or whether the petition may be rejected.